DIGEST OF THE SPECIAL MEETINGS

April 7, 1970 April 9, 1970 April 23, 1970

	April 7	April 9	April 23		
CONVENED: RECESSED: ADJOURNED:	4:12 p.m.	4:10 p.m.	4:10 p.m.		
	5:30 p.m.	5:30 p.m.	6:00 p.m.		

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS: Time limit set for meetings.

BILLS REPORTED:

Proposed College of Marine Studies, Mr. Gaither

These meetings were devoted to a discussion of the proposed College of Marine Studies. No action was taken until April 23.

Two positions evolved from the discussion:

S.	16	Position	I:	to	call	the	new	unit	the	College	of	Marine
_					Studi	es						
S.	17	Position	II:								, 8	a divi-
					sion,	or	a de	epartn	nent			

Initial Vote (Secret Ballot)

Position	I:	22	votes	in	favor		
Position	II:	24	votes	in	favor	2	abstentions

Second Vote (Roll Call Vote)

Position I: 24 votes in favor Position II: 24 votes in favor

S. Res. 7 (Harlan), resolution favoring a program of Marine Studies to include the spirit of all six resolutions (attached to agenda). Passed 35 ayes, 5 nays, 1 abstention.

SENATE RULES (Roll Call Vote): S. 18 (Salsbury), resolution to have a roll call vote taken on important matters (as opposed to a secret ballot). Passed 36 ayes, 7 nays, 4 abstentions.

Special Meeting, April 7

The special meeting is being called for the sole purpose of discussing the proposed College of Marine Studies. This agenda is in accordance with the resolution introduced by Mr. Kerner and passed at the March 19th Senate meeting. The resolution reads as follows:

"Be it moved: that the Faculty Senate exercise the privilege of the faculty, under Trustee Bylaw, to formulate a recommendation to the Trustees regarding the proposed College of Marine Studies."

The proposed College of Marine Studies (PCMS) has generated substantial interest throughout the University. The Faculty Senate feels an obligation to provide a forum for the several viewpoints of the Senate membership upon the PCMS. The discussion of the PCMS must be conducted in a constructive fashion, however, if potential resolutions of the Senate are to be treated with respect.

In an attempt to provide a framework for discussion, the officers of the Senate have drawn up a list of five basic questions on the PCMS. (See attachment.) These questions will be developed in brief talks or position papers followed by discussion from the floor. It is not our intention to try to limit discussion to these points only; rather, it is our view that these points should be covered if the Senate is to be in a position to make any useful recommendations to the Trustees.

If the total discussion upon PCMS cannot be completed at the special meeting on April 7th, a recess session of this meeting has been scheduled tentatively for April 23rd at 4:10 p.m., Room 108 Memorial Hall.

No resolutions upon the PCMS will be voted during the April 7th meeting. Resolutions to be considered at the April 23rd meeting should be submitted to the Vice President by April 10th. This plan is suggested in order to provide adequate information upon which the Senate can make an informed recommendation.

Attachment for Special Meeting

Suggested Outline of Discussion to the Proposed

College of Marine Studies

1. Basic Justification

- a. What is the potential and need for marine studies nationally and for the University of Delaware in particular?
- b. The proposed faculty and student size suggests a large financial commitment to the marine studies program.
 - (1) What level of support is anticipated from the State of Delaware?
 - (2) How shall this expenditure be justified to the taxpayer?
 - (3) What is the evidence that the State is willing to provide this support?

2. Educational Goals

- a. Curriculum Development
 - (1) What are the major topics which comprise undergraduate and graduate curriculum in marine studies?

- (2) What topics are available now at Delaware?
- (3) What is the priority for developing missing topics?
- b. How does the curricula given in part a. above compare to programs now available in other marine institutions in the United States?
- c. What is the schedule for seeking approval of degrees?

3. Research Aims

- a. Present Goals
 - (1) What are the research goals of the Delaware faculty members who are expected to join the PCMS?
 - (2) What new research topics are proposed by these faculty members?
 - (3) How do these research topics yield synergistic interaction?
- b. Acquired Goals
 - (1) What research topics, techniques or capabilities are to be developed by faculty addition?
 - (2) What is the priority for acquiring these new talents?
 - (3) What plans have been made to attract the proposed new faculty?
- c. Federal programs for applied research have a history of being terminated or curtailed at inconvenient times. What contingency funding sources are available to the PCMS?

4. Administration

- a. The PCMS projects a growth rate which may not be possible to achieve. If the core faculty of the PCMS were to expand at the rate of 8% to 10% per year, would the creation of the PCMS be considered advantageous by the existing marine-oriented faculty?
- b. What are the advantages of creating a college as compared to other administrative structure such as:
 - (1) a department?
 - (2) a division?
 - (3) an institute?
 - (4) a school?

5. Previous Review

The PCMS has undergone substantial efforts in planning, formal and informal review. List the significant events and conclusions of these efforts.