SUMMARY OF AGENDA
February 10, 1992

I. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

II. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: December 2, 1991

III. REMARKS BY PROVOST PIPES and/or ASSOCIATE PROVOST ANDERSEN

IV. ANNOUNCEMENTS: Senate President Taggart

ANNOUNCEMENTS FOR CHALLENGE

1. Revision of the major in Food Science
2. Revisions of the major in Animal Science:
   a. Preveterinary Medicine
   b. Agricultural Biotechnology
   c. Applied Animal Science
   d. General Animal Science
3. Revisions of the major in Agricultural Engineering Technology and change of degree type from B.S. in Agriculture to Bachelor of Applied Sciences
4. Revision of the major in Engineering Technology
5. Revision of the major in Chemical Engineering
6. Revisions of the major in Physical Education Studies:
   a. Fitness Management
   b. Addition of Minor

V. OLD BUSINESS

A. Recommendation for generic requirements for a minor and a concentration within a major to apply to all undergraduate degrees.

VI. NEW BUSINESS

A. Recommendation for provisional approval of a new major in Environmental Soil Science leading to the B.S. degree in Agriculture

B. Recommendation for provisional approval of a new major in Latin American Studies leading to the B.A. degree

C. Recommendation for the establishment of three new Honors Baccalaureate Degrees in the Department of Individual and Family Studies (College of Human Resources) in the following majors:
   1. Early Childhood Development and Education
   2. Family and Community Services
   3. Human Development and Family Processes
D. Recommendation for the provisional approval of the following new majors leading to a B.S. in Business Administration:
   1. Management
   2. Finance
   3. Marketing
   4. Operations

E. Resolution inviting a representative from the Professional Advisory Council to participate as a non-voting member of the Senate

F. Recommendation from the Coordinating Committee on Education regarding the elimination of the major in Interior Design

G. Introduction of new business
January 29, 1992

TO: All Faculty Members

FROM: Harrison B. Hall, Vice President
       University Faculty Senate

SUBJECT: Regular Faculty Senate Meeting, February 10, 1992

In accordance with Section IV, paragraph 6 of the Constitution, the regular meeting of the University Faculty Senate will be held on Monday, February 10, 1992 at 4:00 in room 110 Memorial Hall.

AGENDA

I. Adoption of the Agenda.

II. Approval of the minutes of the Senate meeting of December 2, 1991.

III. Remarks by Provost Pipes and/or Associate Provost Andersen.

IV. Announcements: Senate President Taggart

Announcements for Challenge

1. Revision of the major in Food Science (Attachment 1)

2. Revisions of the major in Animal Science: (Attachment 2)
   a. Preveterinary Medicine
   b. Agricultural Biotechnology
   c. Applied Animal Science
   d. General Animal Science

3. Revisions of the major in Agricultural Engineering Technology and change of degree type from B.S. in Agriculture to Bachelor of Applied Sciences (Attachment 3)

4. Revision of the major in Engineering Technology (Attachment 4)

5. Revision of the major in Chemical Engineering (Attachment 5)
6. Revisions of the major in Physical Education Studies
   (Attachment 11---Out of Order)
   a. Fitness Management
   b. Addition of Minor

V. Old Business

A. Recommendation from the Committee on Undergraduate Studies (M. Keefe, Chairperson), with the concurrence of the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), for generic requirements for a minor and a concentration within a major to apply to all undergraduate degrees. This is in response to a resolution from former Senator S. Sandler at the May 13, 1991 Faculty Senate meeting.

   WHEREAS, a minor represents a certain breadth of knowledge, and that knowledge should be somewhat distinct from that which forms the basis for the student’s major, and

   WHEREAS, there should be a clear distinction between a concentration in a major and a minor, therefore be it

   RESOLVED, that a majority of the credits that a student uses towards fulfilling the requirements of a minor must be taken outside the primary subject area courses used to fulfill the requirements of the major.

   (The four letter codes used for the various subject areas are listed in the Undergraduate Catalog.)

VI. New Business

A. Recommendation from the Committee on Undergraduate Studies (M. Keefe, Chairperson), with the concurrence of the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), for provisional approval of a new major in Environmental Soil Science leading to a B.S. degree in Agriculture. (Attachment 6)

   RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate approves provisionally, for four years, the establishment of a new major in Environmental Soil Science, leading to a B.S. in Agriculture (Department of Plant and Soil Sciences), effective immediately.

B. Recommendation from the Committee on Undergraduate Studies (M. Keefe, Chairperson), with the concurrence of the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), for provisional approval of a new major in Latin American Studies leading to a B.A. degree. (Attachment 7)
RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate approves provisionally, for four years, the establishment of a new major in Latin American Studies leading to a Bachelor of Arts degree, effective immediately. This major shall be administered by a multi-departmental committee within the College of Arts and Sciences.

C. Recommendation from the Committee on Undergraduate Studies (M. Keefe, Chairperson), with the concurrence of the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), for the establishment of three new Honors Baccalaureate Degrees in the following programs: Family and Community Services, Human Development and Family Processes, Early Childhood Development and Education in the College of Human Resources. (Attachment 8)

RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate approves the establishment of three new Honors Baccalaureate Degrees in the following programs: Family and Community Services, Human Development and Family Processes, Early Childhood Development and Education (Individual and Family Studies Department in the College of Human Resources), effective immediately.

D. Recommendation from the Committee on Undergraduate Studies (M. Keefe, Chairperson), with the concurrence of the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), for the establishment of four new majors in Management, Finance, Marketing, and Operations Management, leading to a B.S. in Business Administration. (Attachment 9)

RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate approves provisionally, for four years, the establishment of four new majors in Management, Finance, Marketing, and Operations Management, leading to a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration (College of Business and Economics), effective immediately.

E. Recommendation from the Rules Committee (J. Roof, Chairperson) inviting a representative from the Professional Advisory Council to participate as a non-voting member of the Faculty Senate.

WHEREAS, the Senate may establish rules by which members of the University community other than voting faculty may attend and be heard at its meetings, and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the Senate to receive and share information with the larger University community, be it therefore
RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate invites a representative from the Professional Advisory Council to participate as a non-voting member of the Senate beginning September, 1992.

F. Recommendation from the Coordinating Committee on Education (K. Lomax, Chairperson), regarding the elimination of the major in Interior Design. (Phase-out Schedule is at Attachment 10)

WHEREAS, the Department Chairperson of Textiles, Design and Consumer Economics and the Dean of the College of Human Resources have recommended the elimination of the major in Interior Design, be it therefore

RESOLVED, that the Interior Design major is to be disestablished by December, 1995, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the phase-out schedule for course offerings shall assure the completion of the major for currently enrolled students.

G. Such items as may come before the Senate. (No motion introduced under new business, except a motion to refer to committee, shall be acted upon until the next meeting of the Senate.)
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Attachments: Committee Activities Report
1. Revision of the major in Food Science
2. Revisions of the major in Animal Science
3. Revisions of the major in Agricultural Engineering Technology
4. Revision of the major in Engineering Technology
5. Revision of the major in Chemical Engineering
6. New major in Environmental Soil Science
7. New major in Latin American Studies
8. Establishment of three new Honors Baccalaureate Degrees
9. Establishment of four new majors leading to a B.S. in Business Administration
10. Interior Design Phase-out Schedule
11. Revisions of the major in Physical Education Studies
COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES REPORT

Diversity and Affirmative Action, Committee on (Juan Villamarin)

No meeting in January

Education, Coordinating Committee on (Kenneth Lomax)

Discussing permanent status for the Ph.D. in Criminology

Faculty Welfare and Privileges, Committee on (Reed Geiger)

1. Consideration of new hearing procedures for complaints
2. Proposal for a revision of the Committee's charge

Instructional, Computing, and Research Support Services, Committee on (Robert Wilson)

The Committee will hold a hearing on the proposed Policy for Responsible Computing Use

Promotions and Tenure, Committee on (Russell Settle)

The Committee has begun its review of dossiers

Research, Committee on (Carroll Izard)

1. GUR proposals are being evaluated
2. New plan for evaluating GUR proposals (attached)

Student Life, Committee on (Robert Bennett)

1. Discussing control of off-campus student activities
2. Discussing control of verbal harassment (sexual and racial) without violating free speech.

Undergraduate Studies, Committee on (Michael Keefe)

1. New majors (proposed): 1
2. Revision of majors (proposed): 2
3. Revision of minors (proposed): 3
4. New minors (proposed): 5
5. CLEP Proposal
6. Reading Day Proposal
7. Final Exam Policy Proposal
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TO: UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE
FROM: CARROLL E. IZARD
CHAIR, RESEARCH COMMITTEE

RE: RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF RESEARCH COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO PROVOST PIPES

Whereas the University Faculty Senate recognizes the need for increased incentives and broadly based support for scholarship, research, and creativity.

The Senate endorses and urges action on the Senate Research Committee's recommendations for research and graduate studies, as set forth in their 11/25/91 memo to you.
November 25, 1991

To: R. Byron Pipes
Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs

From: Faculty Senate Committee on Research
Carroll E. Izard, Chair
Robert B. Carroll
Katherine Conway-Turner
William B. Daniels
Dallas G. Hoover
Ronald H. Karlson
Peter R. Kolchin
J.A. Leo Lemay
Robert D. Neeves
Marian L. Palley
Damie Stillman
Robert D. Varrin

Subject: Recommendations for Research and Graduate Studies

The Senate Committee on Research appreciated the opportunity to meet with you and Associate Provost Margaret Andersen on November 7, 1991. Your information on budget planning and its effect on research and academic programs was very helpful, and we were most interested to hear your ideas on the future of research at the university.

After further consideration of the issues raised in that meeting, the committee would like to recommend the following actions to improve the campus environment for research:

1. Funding for the General University Research (GUR) program has not kept pace with the need for seed money support of research in the social sciences, arts, and humanities. We would like to see a significant increase in GUR, and we recommend a request to the Unidel Foundation as one means of augmenting this program.

2. We were pleased to hear that you will appoint a special committee to establish a graduate program development plan for the university, as recommended in the report of the Project Vision Implementation Committee. Because the work of the special committee could have significant implications for research, scholarship, and graduate education in the coming years, we urge that the group comprise a strong representation of faculty who have been recognized for their excellence in research and creativity.

An Equal Opportunity University
3. While the Research Committee accepts the challenge to increase external funding for research, we hope a comparable effort will be made in the area of development. Unless a careful balance is maintained between faculty efforts and development activities, those in disciplines with limited opportunities for outside support will be unfairly impoverished to the detriment of the institution.

4. So that faculty may be informed about development activities, we recommend formation of a committee of faculty to serve as a liaison between the faculty and the Development Office.

5. We recommend reinstatement of the Center for Advanced Study at the earliest possible date. Its symbolic value is important as visible evidence of the university's commitment to excellence in scholarship.

6. In addition to reinstatement of the Center for Advanced Study we propose establishment of a similar program for junior faculty, which, like the Presidential Faculty Fellows program on the national level, would be a stimulus for research.

7. The Committee on Research recommends that the university seek other new ways of rewarding excellence in research and creativity. Grants like GUR and UDRF reward proposed research; a means of recognizing the most outstanding products of research is also needed.

8. We request that the Committee on Research be included in the process to appoint the new vice provost for research and graduate studies.

9. We recommend that tuition rates for out-of-state graduate students closely approximate in-state tuition rates. This change would make us more competitive in attracting the best graduate students for our programs and would be especially helpful in disciplines where outside funding is limited.

The Committee on Research is not insensitive to the serious financial constraints that now affect university budget planning. At the same time, we believe that university support for research initiatives and recognition of outstanding products of research and creativity have been underfunded for quite some time. In our view, nothing is more important in maintaining the integrity and morale of the university community than encouragement of research and creativity.

Thank you for the opportunity to exchange ideas with you on research administration at the university. We will be happy to provide more information on any of the above recommendations at your request.

cc: Executive Committee, Faculty Senate