

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE

May 28, 1975

MINUTES

The special meeting of the University Faculty Senate was called to order at 4:05 PM. Senators not in attendance were:

Val E. Arnsdorf	Robert N. Hill	Lucia Palmer
D. A. Barlow	Robert C. Hogenson	Henry T. Reynolds
Joseph T. Bockrath	Larry W. Holmes	Paul Schweizer
Theodore E. D. Braun	Svend Holsoe	James R. Soles
George A. Cicala	Steve Kavanaugh	Barbara Stafford
William S. Gaither	James W. Kent	Robert M. Stark
Billy P. Glass	Vytautas Klemas	Leroy V. Svec
Helen Gouldner	Deborah S. Kliman	Richard W. Tarpley
Irwin G. Greenfield	Kenneth Lewis	Roger S. Ulrich
Andrew Hepburn	William E. McDaniel	Carol J. Vukelich

Prof. Paul Catts, Vice President, presided. He expressed sorrow on the death of Dean Mary Carl, that she would be a loss to the Senate, to the teaching on campus, and to the entire University community. The Senate stood in silence as a tribute to her.

The special meeting was called to (1) consider a proposal from the Committee on Committees to form an ad hoc committee to the Faculty Senate on retrenchment; and (2) consider a proposal from the Ad Hoc Committee to the Vice President for Student Affairs to revise the Student Judicial Policy.

The proposal from the Committee on Committees to form an ad hoc committee to the Faculty Senate to formulate policies and procedures as they affect faculty appointments in a situation of financial exigency and to be considered by the Board of Trustees, came moved and seconded by the Committee. Following a discussion, the consensus of the senators was that they had not had enough time to thoroughly consider the recommendation and Prof. Sandler moved that the recommendation be postponed until the next regular meeting of the Senate. Prof. Sandler's motion was approved 14-13.

Dean Eddy, Chairperson of the Ad Hoc Committee to the Vice President for Student Affairs to Revise the Student Judicial Policy outlined the operations and functioning of the Committee. The Committee consisted of Trustees, students, faculty, and administrators, and they held numerous meetings between April 7 and May 14.

Dr. Worthen, Vice President for Student Affairs, stated the committee was trying to establish a balance between the development of judicial principles and the administration of these principles. The present judicial system does not reflect the appropriate balance because the faculty has the responsibility for establishing and administering the system. The Dean of Students Office is not in a position to administer the system. In the new system, the Vice

President for Student Affairs would be given the responsibility to establish and administer the system to carry out policy promulgated by the faculty.

Prof. Mosberg felt that the process of amending judicial policy was a faculty responsibility and to do otherwise would be inconsistent with Trustee Bylaws. He stated that in any form of government, the formation of a judicial system is a legislative function and is not carried out by administrative or executive branches of the government. The branch of the University which is prosecuting should not be able to amend the system without consultation with the faculty. The Vice President for Student Affairs should have the responsibility for the administration of the system and be able to make recommendations to the system, but with the advice and consent of the faculty. Prof. Mosberg made the following motion:

The establishment of the judicial system and amendments to it are the responsibility of the Vice President for Student Affairs with the advice and consent of the faculty.

The motion was seconded. Dean Lippert called for a quorum count; there were 22 elected senators in attendance, lacking three the number required for a quorum. In the absence of a quorum, the consensus of those present was to continue the meeting in "the sense of the Senate."

Prof. Mosberg's motion to add a sentence to Item I of the report passed.

In order to allow for student judicial board members to serve as committee chairmen, Prof. Finner moved the following: "The chair of the judicial bodies will be elected by the body." Following discussion, the motion failed 12-14.

Prof. Sandler pointed out that the term "Professional members of the judicial boards" was misleading in that there are many people who are considered as professionals in the University community and moved that wherever the words "professional member" are used, that it be substituted with "Professional member of the Division of Student Affairs." Following discussion, the motion failed.

Prof. Mosberg moved that the Senate adopt the Ad Hoc Committee's report with the addition of the motion already passed, and recommend to the Board of Trustees that it is an equitable system and that no change in the Bylaws is necessitated. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously as the "sense of the Senate."

The meeting adjourned at 5:25 PM.



Marjorie R. Recke, Secretary
University Faculty Senate

NOTE: The report of the Ad Hoc Committee is available in the Faculty Senate Office.