John D. Morgan III Dept. of Physics + Astronomy U. of Delaware Ph. D. in Chemistry U. of California, Berkeley - 1978 Open Hearing on a Tobacco-Free Campus Policy March 10, 2014

### US NEWS & WORLD REPORT'S TOP 100 AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES (2013-2014)

Those with smoke-free or tobacco-free policies for their entire campuses appear in italics.

-- 1 Princeton

- 2 Harvard

- 3 Yale

- 4 Columbia

5 Stanford

~ 6 U. of Chicago

~ 7 Duke

- 7 MIT

- 7 U. of Pennsylvania

- 10 Cal Tech

- 10 Dartmouth

~ 12 Johns Hopkins

-12 Northwestern

-- 14 Brown

■ 14 Washington University in St. Louis

- 16 Cornell

-17 Vanderbilt

- 18 Rice

- 18 Notre Dame

20 Emory

\* 20 Georgetown

20 UC - Berkeley

- 23 Carnegie Mellon

23 UCLA

23 U. of Southern California

23 U. of Virginia

- · 23 Wake Forest

28 Tufts

28 U. of Michigan

30 U. of North Carolina - Chapel Hill

→ 31 Boston College

-32 Brandeis

32 College of William & Mary

**32** NYU

- 32 U. of Rochester

- 36 Georgia Tech

- 37 Case Western Reserve

- 37 Penn State - University Park

→ 39 UC - Davis

■ 39 UC - San Diego

- 41 Boston University

- 41 Lehigh

→ 41 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

41 UC - Santa Barbara

41 U. of Illinois - Urbana-Champaign

- 41 U. of Wisconsin - Madison

47 U. of Miami

---47 Yeshiya

→49 Northeastern

49 UC - Irvine

■ 49 U. of Florida - Gainesville

■ 52 George Washington U.

■ 52 Ohio State U. - Columbus

■ 52 Tulane

■ 52 U. of Texas - Austin

52 U. of Washington - Seattle

57 Fordham

- 57 Pepperdine

- 57 U. of Connecticut

- 60 Southern Methodist U.

- 60 U. of Georgia - Athens

**6**2 BYU

- 62 Clemson

~ 62 Syracuse

■62 U. of Maryland - College Park

~ 62 U. of Pittsburgh

- 62 Worcester Polytechnic Institute

-68 Purdue

-69 Rutgers

- 69 Texas A&M

■69 U. of Minnesota

- 69 Virginia Tech

~73 Michigan State U.

■73 U. of Iowa

■75 American University

**⊸**75 Baylor

- 75 Clark University

■75 Indiana University - Bloomington

- 75 Marquette

-75 Miami University - Oxford, Ohio

~ 75 U. of Delaware

- 82 Stevens Institute of Technology

■82 SUNY - Stony Brook

- 82 Texas Christian University

■ 82 U. of Vermont

■ 86 SUNY College of Environmental Science

- 86 U. of Alabama

- 86 UC - Santa Cruz

- 86 U. of Colorado - Boulder

- 86 U. of Tulsa, Oklahoma

91 Auburn

- 91 Colorado School of Mines

→91 Florida State University

■ 91 U. of Denver

→ 91 U. of Massachusetts - Amherst

- 91 U. of San Diego

■97 SUNY - Binghamton

→ 97 Drexel

→97 U. of Missouri

--97 U. of New Hampshire

Smoke/Tobacco-Free 38:62 not. 38/100=3870

### US NEWS & WORLD REPORT'S TOP 100 AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES (2013-2014) East Coast Universities, from New Hampshire to Georgia

Those with smoke-free or tobacco-free policies for their entire campuses appear in italics.

- 1 Princeton
- 2 Harvard
- 3 Yale
- 4 Columbia
- 7 Duke
- ~ 7 MIT
- 7 U. of Pennsylvania
- 10 Dartmouth
- 12 Johns Hopkins
- 14 Brown
- 16 Cornell
- 20 Emory
- 20 Georgetown
- --- 23 Carnegie Mellon
- 23 U. of Virginia
- 23 Wake Forest
- 28 Tufts
- 30 U. of North Carolina Chapel Hill
- · 31 Boston College
- 32 Brandeis
- · 32 College of William & Mary
- **32** NYU
  - 32 U. of Rochester
- -- 36 Georgia Tech
- 37 Penn State University Park
- 41 Boston University
- 41 Lehigh
- 41 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
- 47 Yeshiva
- 49 Northeastern
- ■52 George Washington U.
- 57 Fordham
- -57 U. of Connecticut
- 60 U. of Georgia Athens
- 62 Clemson
- 62 Syracuse
- 62 U. of Maryland College Park
- 62 U. of Pittsburgh
- ~ 62 Worcester Polytechnic Institute
- 69 Rutgers
- 69 Virginia Tech
- → 75 American University
- ~ 75 Clark University
- 75 U. of Delaware
- \*\* 82 Stevens Institute of Technology
- 82 SUNY Stony Brook
- = 82 U. of Vermont
- 86 SUNY College of Environmental Science
- 91 U. of Massachusetts Amherst
- **→** 97 SUNY Binghamton
- 5 make/Tobacco-Free

12: 40 not. 12/52=2390

### US NEWS & WORLD REPORT'S TOP 100 AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES (2013-2014) Universities in New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, DC, & Virginia

Those with smoke-free or tobacco-free policies for their entire campuses appear in italics.

	1	Princeton
Apparagner - At		T. TITTOOOTT

- 7 U. of Pennsylvania
- 12 Johns Hopkins
- 20 Georgetown
- 23 Carnegie Mellon
- 23 U. of Virginia
- 32 College of William & Mary
- 37 Penn State University Park
- 41 Lehigh
- **→** 52 George Washington U.
- → 62 U. of Maryland College Park
- 62 U. of Pittsburgh
- 69 Rutgers
- → 69 Virginia Tech
- 75 American University
- 82 Stevens Institute of Technology
- -97 Drexel

Smoke/Tobacco-Free 3: 15 not. 3/18 = 1790

### 2870

is not a negligibly small number.

28% of UD's undergraduates pay about \$100 million in tuition each year.

If we lost that tuition revenue, what would UD do?

- 1. Raise tuition rates?
- 2. Lower admission standards?
- 3. Lay of faculty?
- 4. All of the above?

It might well make sense to institute to bacco-free 2 ones on well-delimited portions of UD's campus, such as the Health Sciences portion of the STAR Compus.

Many other universities have such policies for their medical campuses.

So does the Christiana Hospital, where many UD students are trained.

### Toxic Volatile Organic Compounds in Environmental Tobacco Smoke: Emission Factors for Modeling Exposures of California Populations

Final Report

Contract No. A133-186

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD P.O. BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812

### Prepared for:

California Air Resources Board Research Division 2020 L Street Sacramento, California 95814

### Prepared by:

J.M. Daisey
K.R.R. Mahanama
and
A.T. Hodgson
Indoor Environment Program
Energy and Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

October 1994

### Key to Abbreviations

```
ng = nanogram = 10^{-9} gram = 10^{-12} kilogram = 10^{-15} metric ton
```

$$\mu$$
g = microgram =  $10^{-6}$  gram =  $10^{-9}$  kilogram =  $10^{-12}$  metric ton mg = milligram =  $10^{-3}$  gram =  $10^{-6}$  kilogram =  $10^{-9}$  metric ton

Table 11 Summary of environmental tabases are les environs factors la construction

Table 1.1. Summary of environmental tobacco smoke emission factors determined for six commercial cigarettes and Kentucky reference cigarette 1R4F.

Compound	Emission ng/n		Emission μg/o	•	Uncertainty <sup>b.</sup> of Emission Factors, %
	Average± Std. Dev.*	1R4F	Average± Std. Dev.*	1R4F	
Acetaldehyde	3,340±525	3,430	2,150±477	2,220	14
Acrolein <sup>c</sup>	(126±109)	(120)	(86±86)	(78)	14
Acrylonitrile	154±16	185	99±18	120	16
Benzene	630±31	653	406±71	423	7
1,3-Butadiene	236±29	276	152±27	179	25
2-Butanone (MEK)	451±25	585	291±56	379	8
Butyl acetate <sup>d.</sup>	<4	<4	<3	<3	7
Butyraldehyde <sup>d.</sup>	<29	<29	<18	<18	7
m,p-Cresol <sup>e.</sup>	128±27	106	83±26	68	14
o-Cresol <sup>c.</sup>	55±11	59	35±5	38	15
Ethyl acetate <sup>d.</sup>	<6	<6	<4	<4	7
Ethyl acrylate <sup>d.</sup>	<5	<5	<3	<3	14
Ethylbenzene	157±14	178	130±10	89	7
Formaldehyde	2,040±414	2,060	1,310±348	1,330	10
3-Methyl-1-butanol <sup>d.</sup>	<23	<23	<14	<14	17
Nicotine	1,410±260	1,540	919±240	993	9
N-Nitrosodiethylamine <sup>d.</sup>	<0.033	<0.033	<0.020	<0.020	13
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.88±0.11	0.69	0.57±0.12	0.44	13
N-Nitrosomorpholine <sup>d.</sup>	<0.033	<0.033	<0.020	<0.020	13
N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	0.16±0.02	0.15	0.10±0.02	0.10	13
Phenol <sup>e.</sup>	438±76	368	281±61	238	9
Pyridine	663±126	989	→28±122	641	18
Pyrrole	626±94	816	402±90	529	16
Styrene	229±16	250	147±24	162	15
Toluene	1,020±78	1,130	656±107	732	6
3-Vinylpyridine <sup>e.</sup>	1,020±149	1,054	662±155	683	10
m,p-Xylene	467±40	504	299±52	327	7
o-Xylene	104±13	115	67±16	75	6
PM-2.5 <sup>e.</sup>	12,400±1,300	11,900	8,100±2,000	7,700	2

### \$00T

Totals: about 10,000 µg = 10 milligrams of VOCs about 8,000 µg = 8 milligrams of PM-2.5

a. Average ± Standard Deviation for six commercial cigarettes.

b. Estimated by propagation of errors method for µg/cig values.

c. Use of the acrolein emission factors for exposure modeling is not recommended.

d. Less-than values are lower limits of detection.

e. Emission factors are corrected for deposition losses to chamber surfaces.

### Key to Abbreviations

ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million

cig = cigarette

mg = milligram =  $10^{-3}$  gram =  $10^{-6}$  kilogram =  $10^{-9}$  metric ton

Table 6.23. Environmental chamber concentrations of carbon monoxide and nitric oxide from environmental tobacco smoke during experiments with six commercial cigarettes and Kentucky reference cigarette 1R4F.

					W
Cigarette	Carbon Monoxide, ppmª	Nitric Oxide Peak, ppb*	Nitric Oxide after 250 min, ppb <sup>b</sup>	Carbon Monoxide Emission Factor, mg/cig	NO Emission Factor, mg/cig
A	13	110	102	99	0.90
A <sup>c</sup>	13	119	106	99	0.97
В	12	· 109	96.0	92	0.89
С	7	76.8	65.5	54	0.63
D	9	113	93.9	69	0.93
E	18	138	106	139	1.13
F	16	103	85.2	122	0.84
1R4F	12	107	91.2	92	0.87
Average <sup>d.</sup>	12	109	91.7	96	0.89
Std. Dev.d.	4.1	19.8	14.8	32	0.16
C. V.d., e.	33	18	16	33	18

Maximum gas concentration for three cigarettes. Within the uncertainty of the measurements, the CO peak concentrations did not change over time.

Peak CO levels remained unchanged in the sealed chamber over the course of the experiments within the sensitivity of the CO monitor. The concentrations of CO in the chamber over the 4-hour period ranged from 7 to 18 ppm for the eight experiments with an average of 12 ppm. For comparison, the current ambient (outdoor) air quality standard for CO is 9 ppm for an 8-hour exposure. The average emission factor for CO was determined for these experiments to be  $96 \pm 32$  mg/cig. This value is within the range of previously reported SS emission factors (NRC, 1986).

The  $NO_x$  levels in the chamber were only slightly above the NO levels. Therefore, the  $NO_2$  levels, which are measured with the chemiluminescence monitor as the difference between  $NO_x$  and NO, were only slightly above background laboratory levels. Also, the uncertainty in the calculated  $NO_2$  levels was high. Consequently, only NO levels are reported in Table 6.23. Although the NO levels decayed throughout the experimental period, the average difference between the peak and final levels was only 15%. Since air infiltration alone would account for a 12% decrease in the airborne concentration over the period, the loss of NO can be attributed to air infiltration rather than chemical reactions of NO. These results suggest that there was very little chemical reaction in the chamber to generate  $NO_2$  under the conditions of this study.

b. Nitric oxide concentration at end of the chamber experiment for three cigarettes.

c. Analysis of a duplicate sample.

d. 1R4F omitted; n = 6.

e. C. V.: Coefficient of Variation = (Std. Dev. X 100) /Average.



### Idling Vehicle Emissions for Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Heavy-Duty Trucks

The amount of pollution that a vehicle emits is dependent on many factors. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has developed a series of computer models that estimate the average emissions for different types of highway vehicles. This fact sheet is one of a series on highway vehicle emission factors. It presents average emission rates for passenger cars, light-duty trucks, (e.g., pickup trucks, sport-utility vehicles), heavy-duty trucks (semi tractor-trailers), and motorcycles when they are idling.

### Introduction

There are a number of factors that affect the rate at which any vehicle emits air pollutants, whether the vehicle is being driven or is at idle (engine running, but vehicle not moving). Some of the most important are:

- vehicle type/size (passenger cars, light-duty trucks, heavy-duty trucks, motor-cycles)
- vehicle age and accumulated mileage
- fuel used (gasoline, diesel, others)
- ambient weather conditions (temperature, precipitation, wind)
- maintenance condition of the vehicle (well maintained, in need of maintenance, presence and condition of pollution control equipment)

The most current version of the computer model that EPA uses to estimate average in-use emissions from highway vehicles is MOBILE6.2. EPA, the States, and others use this model to estimate total emissions of pollutants generated by highway vehicles in various geographic areas and over specific time periods. The idle emission rates or "emission factors" presented in this fact sheet are based on national data representing the in-use fleet as of July 2008.

Table 1: Average Idle Emission Rates by Pollutant and Vehicle Type<sup>2</sup>

		AUTOMOBILES	TRUCKS	TRUCKS	DIESEL AUTOMOBILES	LIGHT TRUCKS	HEAVY TRUCKS	MOTORCYCLES
Pollutant	Units	LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC
NOC	g/hr g/min	2.683	4.043	6.495	1.373	2.720	3.455	19.153
THC	g/hr g/min	3.163 0.053	4.838	7.260	1.353	2.680 0.045	3.503	21.115
00	g/hr g/min	71.225	72.725 1.212	151.900 2.532	7.018	5.853	25.628	301.075
NOx	g/hr g/min	3.515 0.059	4.065 0.068	5.330 0.089	2.690	3.705	33.763 0.563	1.625
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	g/hr g/min	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	1.100	N/A¹ N/A¹
$PM_{10}$	g/hr g/min	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹ N/A¹	N/A¹	1.196	N/A¹ N/A¹

# COMPARISON OF SOURCES OF COMMON AIR POLLUTANTS

VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds; CO = Carbon Monoxide; NOx = NO + NO<sub>2</sub> = Nitrogen Oxides

A CAR IDLING FOR 5 MINUTES SMOKING A CIGARETTE RATIO POLLUTANT

) >	$5 \times 0.045 \text{ g} = 0.225 \text{ g}$	$\sim \! 10 \; \mathrm{mg} = \sim \! 0.010 \; \mathrm{g}$	$\sim$ 22:1
00	$5 \times 1.187 \ e = 5.935 \ e$	$a 960.0 \sim = am 96 \sim$	~60·1
C L	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
XOX.	$5\times0.059 \text{ g} = 0.295 \text{ g}$	$\sim 0.9 \; \mathrm{mg} = \sim 0.0009 \; \mathrm{g}$	$\sim\!330:1$

The car idling (or being driven) for 5 minutes emits much more of these air pollutants than 1 cigarette.

Modern automobiles emit relatively little fine Particulate Matter (PM-2.5) of 2.5 microns or less, so a comparison of their emissions with those from cigarettes would not be very meaningful.

### Plant on the STAR Campus 248 Megawatt Gas-Fired Power

## DNREC Air Permit Application

Table B-1B: Facility Wide Emissions - NORMAL OPERATING

Wolf 1 CHP Data Center

Newark, Delaware

Facility Yearly Estimated Actual Emissions - Normal Operating

ı			99			•
Emitting Units	LM2500 DLE CT	LM2500 DLE CT	18V50SG RGE	Cooling Toware		
Number of Units	9	0	2	6	Facil	Facility Total
Pollutant	(tons/year)	(tons/year)	(tons/vear)	(tons/vear)	.04)	(topolysous)
NO,	42.2	0.0	21.69	(1007.7000)	Ed cy	is/year)
00	9.6	0.0	47.00			
000	12.2	0.0	47.00		98.00	
so <sub>2</sub>	8.2	0.0	0.71			
PM <sub>10</sub>	38.2	0.0	43.38	3.46	85.05	
PM <sub>2,5</sub>	38.2	0.0	43.38	3.46	80.88	
PM <sub>Total</sub>	38.2	0.0	43.38	3.46	84.08	
H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	6.1	0.0	1.28	•	69.7.	
Ammonia	55.5	0.0	11.62		61.63	
HAPs	9.0	0.0	12.85		12.64	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (60,26)	issions (CO <sub>2e</sub> )				EAST STATE	(6,6) (Forest
°00	636,843	0	175.339			
CH4	49.8	0.0	2.67		24	4 403
N <sub>2</sub> O	17.4	0.0	0.27		230	7,102
SF6*		0.00225	ı		OTC	5,46/
Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions 100	ac Emiceione (CO				23,900	54
						818,864

- 1. Normal operating emissions for reciprocating gas engines are based on operations of 2 engines at 100% rated capacity for 8,760 hours per year.
  - 2. Normal operating emissions for gas combustion turbines are based on operations of 6 engines at rated capacity for 8,760 hours per year, which does not take into account downtime for maintenance. Annual downtime for turbine maintenance is anticipated to be minimal.

### COMPARISON OF SOURCES OF COMMON AIR POLLUTANTS

How many cigarettes would need to be smoked to produce the same annual emissions of common air pollutants as those from The Data Centers' 248 Megawatt gas-fired power plant on the STAR Campus?

VOC = Volatile Organic Compounds:

 $\sim$ 59 English tons/year  $\simeq$  53 metric tons/year  $\simeq$  53×10<sup>6</sup> grams/year. Divide this quantity by  $\sim$ 10 milligrams/cigarette  $\simeq$  10<sup>-2</sup> grams/cigarette to obtain 5.3×10<sup>9</sup> cigarettes/year.

Divide this quantity by 365 days/year to obtain  $1.45 \times 10^7$  cigarettes/day = 14,500,000 cigarettes per day.

CO = Carbon Monoxide:

 $\sim$ 56.6 English tons/year  $\simeq$  51 metric tons/year  $\simeq$  51×10<sup>6</sup> grams/year. Divide this quantity by  $\sim$ 100 milligrams/cigarette  $\simeq$  10<sup>-1</sup> grams/cigarette to obtain 5.1×10<sup>8</sup> cigarettes/year.

Divide this quantity by 365 days/year to obtain  $1.4 \times 10^6$  cigarettes/day = 1,400,000 cigarettes per day.

 $NOx = NO + NO_2 = Nitrogen Oxides$ 

 $\sim$ 63 English tons/year  $\simeq$  57 metric tons/year  $\simeq$  57×10<sup>6</sup> grams/year. Divide this quantity by  $\sim$ 0.9 milligrams/cigarette  $\simeq$  9×10<sup>-4</sup> grams/cigarette to obtain 6.3×10<sup>10</sup> cigarettes/year.

Divide this quantity by 365 days/year to obtain  $1.73 \times 10^8$  cigarettes/day = 173,000,000 cigarettes per day.

PM-2.5 = Particulate Matter of Diameter less than 2.5 Microns  $\sim 85$  English tons/year  $\simeq 77$  metric tons/year  $\simeq 77\times 10^6$  grams/year. Divide this quantity by  $\sim 8$  milligrams/cigarette  $\simeq 8\times 10^{-3}$  grams/cigarette to obtain  $9.6\times 10^9$  cigarettes/year.

Divide this quantity by 365 days/year to obtain  $2.6 \times 10^7$  cigarettes/day = 26,000,000 cigarettes per day.

That would require each of about 26,000 members of the UD community to smoke about 1000 cigarettes per day, about 50 packs per day.

This power plant will also emit other air pollutants, such as about 7.4 tons per year of  $H_2SO_4 = Sulfuric\ Acid\ Mist$ , and about 67.1 tons per year of  $NH_3 = Gaseous\ Ammonia$ , which are not emitted by cigarettes.

A tobacco-free campus may not be a smoke-free campus.